


# THINKPROGRESS



CVS Experiment On How To Get People To Stop Smoking Has Fascinating Results



Brown v. Board of Education Came Very Close To Being A Dark Day In American History





New Jersey Rejected This Woman's Atheist License Plate. Now A Judge Says She Can Sue.

## The Terror Effect

BY [MATTHEW YGLESIAS](#) POSTED ON OCTOBER 9, 2008 AT 4:42 PM

John Sides [directs our attention](#) to a new paper from Claude Berrebi and Esteban F. Klor called "[Are Voters Sensitive to Terrorism? Direct Evidence from the Israeli Electorate](#)". Key findings:



This article relies on the variation of terror attacks across time and space as an instrument to identify the causal effects of terrorism on the preferences of the Israeli electorate. We find that the occurrence of a terror attack in a given locality within three months of the elections causes an increase of 1.35 percentage points on that locality's support for the right bloc of political parties out of the two blocs vote. This effect is of a significant political magnitude because of the high level of terrorism in Israel and the fact that its electorate is closely split between the right and left blocs. Moreover, a terror fatality has important electoral effects beyond the locality where the attack is perpetrated, and its electoral impact is stronger the closer to the elections it occurs. Interestingly, in left-leaning localities, local terror fatalities cause an increase in the support for the right bloc, whereas terror fatalities outside the locality increase the support for the left bloc of parties. Given that a relatively small number of localities suffer terror attacks, we demonstrate that terrorism does cause the ideological polarization of the electorate. Overall, our analysis provides strong empirical support for the hypothesis that the electorate shows a highly sensitive reaction to terrorism.

They conclude that the "terror effect" was enough to put Likud over the top in 1988 and in 1996.

To state what's obvious to me, but apparently not to a majority of voters, what you're see here is the dysfunctional codependence of competing nationalisms. Terrorist attacks lead to right-wing political policies that lead to repressive policies that lead to more terrorist attacks. This is good for violence-friendly leaders on both sides of the green line but makes both the Israeli and the Palestinian populations worse off than they would have been had the Palestinians eschewed violence and the Israelis elected dovish politicians. It's particularly maddening to see how this played out in 1996 which was a real turning-point election.

Tags: [Israel](#) [Terrorism](#)

### From the Web

Sponsored Links by Taboola



#### No Robben, no Ribery, no chance against Messi for Pep's Bayern

Goal




#### Criticism Follows Israeli Aid to Nepal

Bloomberg



#### 'Warren Buffett Indicator' Breaches Self-Alert Status. Do ...

Newsmax



#### Shocking Video That The Eyecare Industry Is Trying To Hide Fro ...

Vision Protocol Guide



#### 15 Surprising Celebs Who Converted To Islam

CelebCereal.com



#### You Can Fix Your Eyesight Naturally Without Glasses At A...

Restore My Vision



#### 15 Most STUNNING Children Of Unattractive Celebs

4 All Minds and Bodies



#### 10 Famous Celebrities Who Were Born Rich

Amerikanki

By clicking and submitting a comment I acknowledge the [ThinkProgress Privacy Policy](#) and agree to the [ThinkProgress Terms of Use](#). I understand that my comments are also being governed by Facebook, Yahoo, AOL, or Hotmail's Terms of Use and Privacy Policies as applicable, which can be found [here](#).

Get ThinkProgress email alerts

Advertisement

